



Quaderni Fiorentini per la storia del pensiero giuridico moderno

PUBLICATION ETHICS AND PUBLICATION MALPRACTICE STATEMENT

for the Director and the Editorial Board, and for the referees and authors of articles proposed for publication in the «*Quaderni fiorentini per la storia del pensiero giuridico moderno*»

The *Quaderni fiorentini per la storia del pensiero giuridico moderno* follow the ethical principles adopted by the COPE (*Committee on Publication Ethics*), [Best Practice Guidelines for Journal Editors](#).

DUTIES OF THE DIRECTOR AND THE EDITORIAL BOARD

The Director, assisted by the Editorial Board, decides which articles to publish on the basis of a double-blind peer review.

The Director and the Editorial Board decide exclusively on the basis of the scientific value, relevance and originality of the content of the article without discrimination on the basis of race, gender, religion, ethnic origin, citizenship, sexual orientation and political orientation of the authors.

The Director and the Editorial Board may not give information on articles proposed for publication to persons other than the author, referees and the publisher or printer, and undertake not to use the contents of unpublished articles for their own research without the express written consent of the author.

The Journal is committed to conclude the review process within three months from the moment the contribution was proposed. The Director shall communicate the decision to the author of the contribution without delay.

DUTIES OF THE REFEREES

The duties of the Referees of the *Quaderni fiorentini per la storia del pensiero giuridico moderno* are based on ethical principles inspired by <http://www.njcmindia.org/home/about/22>.

Peer review contributes to the proper evaluation of articles by the Director and the Editorial Board and also serves the authors to improve their contributions.

Any referee who does not feel suitable for the task requested or who is unable to respond within the specified timeframe must report this as a matter of urgency.

Peer review must be carried out fairly and objectively. Referees must adequately justify their assessments of the article sent to them, giving precise bibliographical references to important works overlooked by the author of the article and pointing out any similarities or overlaps between the text examined and other works known to them.

Information obtained during the review process must be kept confidential and may not be used for the benefit of the referee. Referees must not accept for reading articles for which a conflict of interest

arises due to a previous specific relationship of collaboration or competition with the author or the relevant institution.

DUTIES OF THE AUTHORS OF ARTICLES SUBMITTED TO THE «QUADERNI FIORENTINI»

The duties of the authors of the *Quaderni fiorentini per la storia del pensiero giuridico moderno* are based on ethical principles inspired by Elsevier, [Ethical Guidelines for Authors](#).

Authors must compose a scientifically original work in all its parts and adequately cite the sources used to allow readers to understand the method and retrace the research path followed. Authors must follow the editorial standards of the «Quaderni fiorentini».

Unless expressly agreed to by the Director, contributions published or in the process of publication elsewhere must not be submitted. An article published in the «Quaderni fiorentini» may subsequently be published elsewhere only with the consent of the Director and on condition that express reference is made to publication in the «Quaderni fiorentini».

The authorship of the work must be clearly stated: all those who have made a significant contribution to the production of the article must appear as co-authors. The contribution made by other people in a significant way to certain phases of the research must also be explicitly acknowledged. In the case of joint contributions, the share of each author must be clearly indicated.